

On the Economics of Recycling and Small Open Circular Economies

By Juan F. Garcia * ^{†1}, Sandra Rousseau¹, and Johan Eyckmans¹

¹Center for Economics and Corporate Sustainability, KU Leuven

November 8, 2017

Keywords: Recycling, re-manufacturing, circular economy

JEL classification: Q53

1 Introduction

Significant improvements in social welfare have recently been linked to large scale recycling programs across the world, projects that have been termed as *circular economies*¹. Among the gains claimed to be associated with material re-purification and re-manufacturing the most appealing dividends that call to the attention of local and regional authorities - especially in the European Union, China and Japan - include job creation, economic growth, increased competitiveness, reduced dependence on foreign raw material, pollution control and environmental sustainability (e.g. European Commission 2015; State Council of the People's Republic of China, 2013). From an academic and non-academic perspective, the discussion on circular economies and their expected impacts has been relatively rich and controversial, ranging from concepts and definitions (e.g. EMF 2015a, 2015b; Hollander et al., 2017; Blomsma and Brennan, 2017), metrics (e.g. EMF, 2015c.; Liender et. al., 2017; Tisserant et al., 2017; Haupt et al., 2017; Lebre et al., 2017) to more critical postures (e.g. Fellner et al.,

*PhD. student at KU Leuven, Brussels. Corresponding author, E-mail: juan.garcia@kuleuven.be

[†]This document belongs to the Integrated economic modelling of material flows (IECOMAT) project, sponsored by the Belgian Science Policy (BELSPO). The authors would like to thank IECOMAT researchers of VITO and CORE for their valuable comments and suggestions. Likewise, we thank Professor Theo Moons for his willingness to review and comment on the mathematical coherence present in this article, Professor Vernon Smith for literature suggestions and to the academic community that attended the CEDON seminars at KU Leuven, and the ORBEL 2017, BEED 2017 and EAERE 2017 conferences, in which this work has been presented.

¹Moreau (2017) cites EMF's definition of the circular economy: "A circular economy is restorative and regenerative by design, and aims to keep products, components, and materials at their highest utility and value at all times. The concept (...) is a continuous positive development cycle that preserves and enhances natural capital, optimizes resource yields, and minimizes system risks by managing finite stocks and renewable flows. It works effectively at every scale".

2017; Zinc and Geyer, 2017; Richa and Gaustad, 2017). Despite this *momentum*, the environmental and resource economics literature has shed limited light on the topic, a formal input that is expected to play an important role in the comprehension of key circular mechanisms strongly determined by market forces (Liender et al., 2017).

With the objective of contributing to a better understanding of the economics of circular economies, we derive conditions on an economy's fundamentals in a fully integrated environment that explain *why* small open economies lacking of direct ownership of raw material rationally activate or deactivate the main recycling loops of the circular economy, that is re-purification and re-manufacturing. Throughout this document we understand *small open* as the condition in which the local open economy cannot affect neither the global consumption (depletion rate) of raw material nor the relative international price at which it is traded and where spatial heterogeneity plays no tangible role in the characterization of major externalities. We focus on the particular class of economies that experience an inevitable shortage of landfill capacity, toxic and potentially lethal pollution released from economic activity and endogenous population growth. In order to connect our investigation with ongoing research in circular economies, we assume that technologies - including capital accumulation - are constrained by material balance restrictions, the impossibility of dematerialization, thermodynamic efficiency and entropic costs. Our model provides an explanation as to why small open economies behave in a linear (no input coming from recycling), fully circular (all material inputs come from recycled material) or mixed linear-circular manner. As an interesting result, we formally show that the circular economy is typically not consistent with the so called hierarchy of waste management, confirming non-mathematical insights of authors as Richa and Gaustad (2017). Finally, we proceed to optimally price the circular economy, illustrating the basic type of information that would be required in order to restore optimality for the circular economy market in the presence of typical externalities associated to it.

Although, to the best of our knowledge, the economics literature has not formally tackled the circular economy model in its full dimension, our model fits in the general equilibrium dynamic recycling literature, whose seminal works were provided by Plourde (1972) and Smith (1972). Plourde (1972) introduced the problem of control of pollution by transforming hazardous waste into a nonhazardous component. Smith (1972), building on Plourde (1972), allowed for the reintroduction of waste into the consumption chain under material balance restrictions, introducing a more familiar form of material reuse into the modelling. A rich exposition of mathematical methods for the classic study of the economics of dynamic recycling is exposed in Smith (1977). In the same spirit, Lusky (1975, 1975b, 1976) and Hoel (1978) study the introduction of material scarcity and its role in optimal re-purification and re-manufacturing, emphasizing the effect of material depletion costs and environmental services on consumer's welfare. Stylized recycling dynamic models have been enriched with land-filling costs (Dinan, 1993; Huntala 1999) and renewable resources (Huntala, 1999). A second wave of recycling dynamic models treats the introduction of capital accumulation, relaxing short-term substitution of material and energy considered in the previous literature. Introduction of physical capital and growth in dynamic recycling is initially explored by DiVita (2001), although violating material balance re-

restrictions. Pittel et al. (2010) and Akao and Managi (2007) reconsider DiVita's setup, restricting capital formation explicitly introducing linear material balancing, although assuming extreme (asymptotic) substitution between capital and energy and without considering the environmental dimension. The lack of thermodynamic considerations in the recycling growth literature is reported by Fagnart and Germain (2011).

Building on this literature, we contribute to the economics of recycling in two directions. First, we formally introduce the study of small open circular economies in a dynamic set-up by developing a fully integrated model capable of analyzing circularities in the presence of environmental, technological and material constraints keeping full thermodynamic consistency and therefore allowing for realistic tracking of materials. Second, we show how, under absence of asymptotic de-materialization, (nested) Leontief technologies offer a natural, flexible and tractable way of studying circular phenomena for both long and very short run scenarios, overcoming the lack of steady state introduced in presence of entropic costs.

References

- [1] Akao, Ken-Ichi and Managi, Shunsuke. (2007). Feasible and optimality of sustainable growth under materials balance. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 31: 3778-3790.
- [2] Anderson, Curt L. (1987). The Production Process: Inputs and Wastes. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 14: 1-12.
- [3] Ayres, R.U. (1999). The second law, the fourth law, recycling and limits to growth. *Ecological Economics*, 29(3), 473-483.
- [4] Blomsma, F. and G. Brennan. (2017). The emergence of circular economy: A new framing around prolonging resource productivity. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 603–614.
- [5] Dasgupta, Partha and Heal, Geoffrey. (1974). The optimal depletion of exhaustible resources. *The Review of Economics Studies*, 41:3-28.
- [6] Dinan. (1993). Economic efficiency effects of alternative policies for reducing waste disposal". *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 25, 342-256.
- [7] Di Vita, Giuseppe. (2001). Technological change, growth and waste recycling. *Energy Economics*, 23, 549-567.
- [8] European Commission. (2015). Closing the loop: An action plan for the circular economy. Brussels: European Commission.
- [9] EMF (Ellen MacArthur Foundation). (2015a). Delivering the circular economy—A toolkit for policy makers. Isle of Wight, UK: Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

- [10] EMF (Ellen MacArthur Foundation). (2015b). Towards a circular economy—Business rationale for an accelerated transition. Isle of Wight, UK: Ellen MacArthur Foundation.
- [11] EMF (Ellen MacArthur Foundation). (2015c). Circularity indicators: An approach to measuring circularity. Methodology. Isle of Wight, UK: Ellen MacArthur Foundation.
- [12] Fellner, J., J. Lederer, C. Scharff, and D. Laner. (2017). Present potentials and limitations of a circular economy with respect to primary raw material demand. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 494–496.
- [13] Fagnart, Jean-Francois and Germain, Marc. (2010). Quantitative versus qualitative growth with recyclable resource. *Ecological Economics*: 929-941.
- [14] Geyer, R., B. Kuczenski, T. Zink, and A. Henderson. (2016). Common misconceptions about recycling. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 20(5): 1010–1017.
- [15] Ghisellini, P., C. Cialani, and S. Ulgiati. (2016). A review on circular economy: The expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 114: 11–32.
- [16] Haupt, M., C. Vadenbo, and S. Hellweg. (2016). Do we have the right performance indicators for the circular economy? Insight into the Swiss Waste Management System. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 615–627.
- [17] Highfill, Jannett and McAsey, Michael (1995). Municipal Waste Management: Recycling and Landfill Space Constraints. *Journal of Urban Economics* 41: 118-136.
- [18] Lebre, E., G. Corder, and A. Golev. (2017). The role of the mining industry in a circular economy: A framework for resource management at the mine site level. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 662–672.
- [19] Hoel, Michael. (1978). Resource Extraction and Recycling with Environmental Costs. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 5: 220-235.
- [20] Hollander, M. C. Den, C. A. Bakker, and H. J. Hultink. (2017). Product design in a circular economy: Development of a typology of key concepts and terms. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 517–525.
- [21] Huntala, Anni. (1999). Optimizing production technology choices: conventional production vs. recycling. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 21, 1-18.
- [22] Linder, M., S. Sarasini, and P. van Loon. (2017). A metric for quantifying product-level circularity. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 545–558.
- [23] Lieder, Michael, and Rashid, Amir (2016). Towards circular economy implementation: a comprehensive review in context of manufacturing industry. *Journal of Cleaner production*, 115: 36-51.

- [24] Lusky, Rafael. (1975). Optimal taxation policies for conservation and recycling. *Journal of Economic Theory* 11: 315-328.
- [25] Lusky, Rafael. (1975 b). Consumer's preferences and ecological consciousness. *International Economic Review* 16: 188-200.
- [26] Lusky, Rafael. (1976). A Model of Recycling and Pollution Control *The Canadian Journal of Economics* 9: 91-101.
- [27] McDowall, W., Y. Geng, B. Huang, E. Bartekova, R. Bleischwitz, S. Turkeli, R. Kemp, and T. Domenech. (2017). Circular economy policies in China and Europe. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 651-661.
- [28] Murray, Alan, Skene, K., and Haynes, K. (2015). *"The circular economy: An interdisciplinary exploration of the concept and application in a global context"*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1-12.
- [29] Pittel, Karen., Amigues, Jean-Pierre and Kuhn, Thomas. (2010). Recycling under a material balance constraint. *Resource and Energy Economics*. 32: 379-394.
- [30] Plourde, C.G. (1972). A Model of Waste Accumulation and Disposal" *The Canadian Journal of Economics*. 5: 119-125.
- [31] Richa, K., C. Babbitt, and G. Gaustad. (2017). Eco-efficiency analysis of a lithium-ion battery waste hierarchy inspired by circular economy. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 715-730.
- [32] Sauve, S., S. Bernard, and P. Sloan. (2016). Environmental sciences, sustainable development and circular economy: Alternative concepts for trans-disciplinary research. *Environmental Development* 17: 48-56.
- [33] Smith, Vernon L. (1972). Dynamics of Waste Accumulation: Disposal Versus Recycling. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 4: 600-616.
- [34] Smith, Vernon L. (1976). Control applied to natural and environmental resources. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 4: 1-24.
- [35] Stahel, W.R. 2010. *The performance economy*, 2nd ed. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- [36] State Council of the People's Republic of China. (2013). State Council communication regarding the circular economy development strategy and action plan State Council of the People's Republic of China, Beijing.
- [37] Tisserant, A., S. Pauliuk, S. Merciai, J. Schmidt, J. Fry, R. Wood, and A. Tukker. (2017). Solid waste and the circular economy: A global analysis of waste treatment and waste footprints. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 628-640.
- [38] Zink, T. and R. Geyer. (2017). Circular economy rebound. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 21(3): 593-602.